

Proper Prescribing

Jason Beaman D.O., M.S., M.P.H., FAPA

Associate Clinical Professor

Interim Chair, School of Forensic Sciences

Oklahoma State University Center for Health Sciences



Objectives

1. Understand the concept of prescribing controlled substances
2. Understand the appropriate use of controlled substances
3. Understand the proper prescribing of controlled substances



Dealing a Medication

- Physicians are allowed to prescribe addicting substances that have demonstrated medical benefit
- This “allowance” is through a combination of state and federal permissions
- This allowance mandates vigilance and being responsible on the part of the physician



Dealing a medication

Controlled substances should be prescribed when:

- The benefits outweigh the risk
- There are no safer alternatives available

Can use off label but should do so with extreme caution

With a valid controlled substance agreement

DEA Schedules

Drug schedule	Abuse/dependence potential	Accepted medical use	Need for a prescription	Examples
Schedule I	High	No	Not applicable	Flunitrazepam, LSD, PCP
Schedule II	High	Yes	Yes (un-refillable)	Methadone, cocaine, oxycodone (Percodan®), methylphenidate (Ritalin®) and dextroamphetamine (Dexedrine®)
Schedule III	Low/moderate	Yes	Yes (five refills only)	Anabolic steroids, some barbiturates
Schedule IV	Low	Yes	Yes (five refills only)	Darvon, Talwin, Equanil, Valium and Xanax
Schedule V	May or may not	Yes	No	Over-the-counter medications

A large orange circle on the left side of the slide, partially cut off by the edge.

Common
Categories
of
Controlled
Substances

Stimulants

Benzodiazepines

Opioids

Barbiturates

Z hypnotics

Muscle Relaxers

Using Multiple Controlled Substances

As a general rule, should avoid crossing categories

- Can stack side effects or overcome compensatory systems (i.e. respiratory drive)

As a general rule, should avoid multiple agents in the same class

- Multiple pharmacokinetic profiles can alter the safety analysis

Certain combinations are red flags for monitoring agents:

- Opioid, Xanax, and Soma

Controlled Substance Agreement

- Should be utilized in 100% of patients receiving a controlled substance
- Outlines expectations
- Allows both parties to understand the parameters of the agreement to receive controlled substances



Controlled Substance Agreement

Common Elements

- The patient will not obtain controlled substances from any other provider, including emergency departments
- If the patient does obtain a substance from another provider, they will call you immediately
- The patient will obtain prescriptions from one pharmacy only



Controlled Substance Agreement

Common Elements

- The patient will not use other addictive or mind altering substances
 - This may include marijuana
- The patient understands that they may be asked for a urine drug screen at any time
- The patient understands that they may be asked for a pill count at anytime



Controlled Substance Agreement

Common Elements

- The patient understands that early refills will not be given for any reason
- The patient understands that any violation of the agreement will result in loss of the right to obtain it
- The patient understands that if they do not violate the agreement then they will receive the medication as long as the physician believes it to be beneficial



Monitoring



Urine Drug
Screens



PMP



Pill Counts



Refill timing



Behavior

Drug Testing

- Screening
 - Immunoassay
 - Lots of false positives
- Mass Spectroscopy
 - Confirmatory
 - Relatively no alternative explanation for a substance to be present




Urine Drug Screens

- Should be done at first visit
- Should be done frequently in the beginning of treatment
- Should be done routinely after
- All patients tested the same



Urine Drug Screens

- Most facilities have a standard set of substances that they test for
- Does not test for all substances
- You should be aware of what your facility/clinic's screen is for



Urine Drug Screens and Opioids

UDS usually tests for “opiates” and not “opioids

Will therefore not test for synthetic opioids

- Fentanyl
- Methadone
- Tramadol

These tests need to be ordered seperatly

Urine Drug Screens and Buprenorphine


UDS' are an important component of buprenorphine utilization

Usually will test for the metabolite, norbuprenorphine also

If the test is positive for only buprenorphine but absent norbuprenorphine, then diversion may occurring

Mass Spectroscopy

- Confirmatory testing that looks for the chemical signature of a substance
- Can be obtained from urine
- Very expensive
- Should be utilized consistently, albeit sparingly



When to Obtain Confirmatory Testing

- When the patient refutes the results of the UDS
- When there are serious consequences
- Routinely in order to ensure your process is working as expected




Consequences of Drug Testing Results

- Loss of employment
- Loss of ability to participate in activities (i.e. sports)
- Loss of custody
- Violation of probation/parole
- Driving while Intoxicated



Abnormal Urine Drug Screens

- Inconsistent Positive
 - Positive for something it should not be
- Inconsistent Negative
 - Negative for something it should be positive for



Inconsistent Positive

- Have to acknowledge and have to do something
- Ask yourself how the substance can be contributing to the symptoms
- Are you abiding by the Hippocratic Oath



A clear glass dish containing several white round tablets and several blue and white capsules. The dish is set against a light blue background.

Inconsistent Positive Options

- More frequent monitoring
- Discontinuation of medication
- Referral to substance treatment

Inconsistent Negative

- Huge Red Flag
- Should consider immediate cessation
- You cannot knowingly contribute to diversion



Prescription Monitoring Program

- Should be checked at every visit
- Adherence to controlled substance contract
- Violations need to be addressed in the chart



Pill Counts

- Can verify adhering to prescription instructions
- Can be done at your office or at a local pharmacy
- Should be random but flexibility is important



Aberrant Behaviors

- Driving the conversation toward controlled substances
- Demanding
 - Certain medications
 - Higher doses
- Refusal to participate in alternative treatment or diagnostics
- History of diversion



Documentation

- Is one of the most important components
- Protects both the patient and the physician
- Is one of the most underperformed areas



Documentation

- HPI
- Social History
- Assessment/Diagnosis
- Treatment Plan



Documentation

HPI

- At the first visit, should establish the actual presence of a condition or symptoms that would benefit from the medication
- Should document prior treatment efforts and response
- Should document any past objective evidence
 - Be sure to state what information comes from the patient and what information was viewed by you



Documentation Follow-up

- What is the status of the symptoms listed in the first visit
- What is the status of symptoms associated with the diagnosis
- How exactly has the medication helped, including function
- Has the patient experienced warning signs of addiction:
 - Cravings
 - Withdrawal
 - Tolerance



Documentation Social History

- Have to ask about past history of addiction
 - “What substances have you used before, even once?”
 - “Do you think you have ever been addicted to anything or has a substance ever caused problems in your life?”
 - “Have you ever been to substance treatment or had it recommended to you?”



Documentation Diagnosis

- Should be based on evidence
- Should not be vague
 - i.e. “anxiety” or “low back pain”
- If a mental illness, diagnosis should meet all required DSM criteria



Documentation Treatment Plan

- Should be based on evidence
- Outline the expectations of the medication
 - If they are not met, then what will happen?
- Consider obtaining records to verify diagnosis
- Outline the anticipated length of use of the controlled substance
- Should review monitoring results
 - “UDS reviewed, no abnormal results.”
 - ”PMP reviewed, no unexpected results.”




Common Pitfalls

- Copy forward
- Ignoring abnormal results
 - UDS
 - PMP
- Poor HPI documentation




Copy Forward

- The primary characteristic that I look for in a malpractice/criminal review is that the physician made an individualized assessment at the time of the prescription
- Copying forward the same note month after month calls this into question
- Often simple grammatical mistakes are carried forward demonstrating that the physician was not paying attention



Ignoring Results UDS

- Falsely stating that the UDS was reviewed and there were no abnormal results bluntly demonstrates that the physician either:
 - Was not paying attention
 - Doesn't care about their patient
 - Highly correlated with “pill mills”
 - Can be very hard to defend, especially with a chronic pattern
- 

Ignoring Results PMP

- As with urine drug screens, ignoring PMP results demonstrates that the physician is not utilizing the required level of vigilance in a high risk area
- Can also be difficult to defend
- Ignoring both tools can be especially damaging

Poor HPI Documentation

- “Patient states meds are working.”
- “Patient states meds are not working. Will increase dose.”
- “Patient has PTSD. States blood sugars are well controlled.”
 - “Will refill Xanax.”
 - “Will increase Xanax.”



Consequences of Poor Prescribing

- Criminal Charges
- Civil liability
- Administrative action

Criminal Charges

- [Murder](#)
- Conspiracy
- Violation of Controlled Substances Act
- Many others



Civil Liability

- Malpractice
- While the patient may like the physician, families often don't
- Families will sue if there is a bad outcome
- Standard of Care will be that no reasonable physician would have prescribed such in light of the available information
- Or no reasonable physician would not have obtained more information



Administrative Action

- Loss of DEA license
- Loss of OBNDD license
- Loss of medical license
- Loss of hospital privileges
- Loss of contract with third party payers



What about our colleagues?

- There is an ethical obligation to report dangerous patient care
- May be an affirmative duty in certain situations
- Should report directly to your medical board
 - Administration can be helpful and should be involved but the duty belongs to the licensee, not the health system



When to Report Examples

- When you become aware that a physician is knowingly contributing to diversion
- When you believe the dose poses an imminent threat
- When you believe the physician is not adhering to standard medical practice
 - i.e. no physical exam

Conclusion

- Physicians are gatekeepers of proper utilization of high risk medications
- The use of controlled substances requires vigilance and monitoring
- Documentation is important for patient safety and physician liability



Questions?

Jason.Beaman@okstate.edu



@sanitydoc

